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| **Abstract** | People worldwide are increasingly acquiring collections of virtual possessions. While virtual possessions have become ubiquitous, little work exists on how people value and form attachments to these things. To investigate, we conducted a study with 48 young adults from South Korea, Spain and the United States. The study probed on participants’ perceived value of their virtual possessions as compared to their material things, and the comparative similarities and differences across cultures. Findings show that young adults live in unfinished spaces and that they often experience a sense of fragmentation when trying to integrate their virtual possessions into their lives. These findings point to several design opportunities, such as tools for life story-oriented archiving, and insights on better forms of Cloud storage. |
| **Description of Changes** | In accordance with our rebuttal, we made the following changes to our paper: 1. We streamlined all language/rhetoric surrounding virtual possessions to adjust for a prior somewhat inconsistent use of terms (i.e., digital, virtual, immaterial). As noted in the rebuttal, we decided to use 'virtual possessions' because it is a term we have used in several prior ACM publications. 2. We included citations to other works in the Findings portion of the paper in certain cases when our findings appeared elsewhere. In particular this appeared in the context of Kirk & Sellen's (2010) findings related to 'deep storage' and 'hybrid archives' and Petrelli et al.'s (2010) work related to embodied digital mementos (and attendant sentimental digital content). 3. We slightly adjusted the language in the 'prototyping home' section to make it more explicitly that this is an opportunity for exploring future research directions (as we noted in our rebuttal). 4. Finally, we made all changes to figures and text requested by R4 and R2. This was most helpful and we very much appreciate this feedback. We also did several careful read throughs of the paper to catch and adjust any small grammatical issues or typos. |
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| **Contribution & Benefit Statement (Mandatory Field)**   | Contributes an investigation of young adults' value construction practices with their virtual possessions in South Korea, Spain and the United States and proposes design opportunities in this emerging design space. |
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